

The Kerr/CFT Correspondence and String Theory

Tatsuo AZEYANAGI^{†1}, Noriaki OGAWA^{§2} and Seiji TERASHIMA^{§3}

[†] *Department of Physics, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

[§] *Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

Abstract

The Kerr/CFT correspondence is a holographic duality between a two dimensional chiral conformal field theory (CFT) and the very near horizon limit of an extremal black hole, which includes an AdS_2 structure. To understand the dual chiral CFT_2 , we apply the Kerr/CFT correspondence to a certain class of black holes embedded in string theory, which include the D1-D5-P and the BMPV black holes, and obtain the correct entropies for the black holes microscopically. These have an AdS_3 structure in the near horizon geometry and an AdS_2 structure in the very near horizon geometry. We identified one of the two Virasoro symmetries in the nonchiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_3 , i.e. in the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$, with the Virasoro symmetry in the chiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_2 , i.e. in the Kerr/CFT correspondence. We also discuss a way to understand the chiral CFT_2 dual to generic extremal black holes. A kind of universality for the very near horizon geometries of extremal black holes will be important for the validity of the Kerr/CFT correspondence. Based on this analysis, we propose that the Kerr/CFT correspondence can be understood as a decoupling limit in which only the ground states remain.

¹ E-mail address : aze@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

² E-mail address : noriaki@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

³ E-mail address : terasima@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	A Review of Kerr/CFT Correspondence	4
3	Near Horizon Holography in the AdS_3 Throat	9
3.1	The rotating D1-D5-P black holes	9
3.1.1	The supergravity solution	9
3.1.2	D-brane configuration and the D1-D5 CFT	11
3.1.3	The extremal and the BPS conditions	12
3.2	The AdS_3 decoupling limit	14
3.3	Asymptotic symmetry group and central charges	16
4	Very Near Horizon Limit and Kerr/CFT	17
4.1	Extremal limit and very near horizon geometry	17
4.2	Asymptotic symmetry groups and central charges	19
4.3	Temperatures and the microscopic entropy	20
4.4	Virasoro symmetries and the CFT on the D-branes	21
5	The Kerr/CFT Correspondence as a Decoupling Limit	22
6	Conclusions	23

1 Introduction

Black holes are still a mystery and provide us valuable information to understand a microscopic theory underlying Einstein's general relativity. Toward the formulation of the microscopic theory, they are expected to play the same role as hydrogen atoms did in the early stage of quantum mechanics. In 1996, Strominger and Vafa succeeded in microscopically calculating the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a BPS black hole by using string theory [1]. This success is one of the most stringent pieces of evidence to believe that string theory or nonperturbative formulation of it is a promising candidate for quantum theory of gravity.

Recently the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the 4D extremal Kerr black hole was calculated [2] by applying Brown-Henneaux's method [3]⁴. Roughly speaking, the near

⁴ In [4], Brown-Henneaux's method was applied to black holes in a different way, considering not the

horizon geometry of it is written as a $U(1)$ fibrated AdS_2 geometry and the Virasoro symmetry of the dual chiral CFT_2 is identified with a class of diffeomorphisms which preserve an appropriate boundary condition. This analysis is somehow different from the usual AdS/CFT correspondence [12–14], and an interesting point is that the $U(1)$ part rather than the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ part of the isometry is enhanced to the Virasoro symmetry and it is not clear why the enhancement occurs in such a manner.

After that, this method, the Kerr/conformal field theory (CFT) correspondence, has been applied to various black holes with such a fibration structure in the near horizon geometry [15–21], and the correct entropy is reproduced microscopically for each of the systems. In particular, as shown in [17, 18], the Kerr/CFT correspondence can be applied to any $U(1)$ symmetry instead of the rotational symmetry of space of an extremal black hole with a finite horizon area. However, the origin of this correspondence is not clear yet. In [17], there are two different boundary conditions which give different Virasoro symmetries for one black hole geometry, although both give the correct entropy. This fact also makes the correspondence mysterious. To understand the Kerr/CFT correspondence well, it is worthwhile to apply it to well-known black holes embedded in string theory. This is the main topics of this paper.

In this paper, we apply the method to a certain class of black holes which are constructed as a rotating D1-D5-P system in string theory [22, 23]. Especially this class includes the BMPV black hole [24, 25] and the nonrotating D1-D5-P black hole [1, 26, 27], which preserve supersymmetries. This class of black holes contain an AdS_3 structure in the usual “near horizon” geometry, which is familiar in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence. Going into this AdS_3 throat corresponds to a decoupling limit, where only the low energy states compared with the string scale remain. At the bottom of this AdS_3 throat, we find a BTZ black hole, where we can take a further near horizon limit and find a $U(1)$ fibrated AdS_2 structure. We call this second limit the “very near horizon limit,” according to [28], in order to distinguish it from the first. We can apply the Kerr/CFT correspondence to this “very near horizon geometry.” This may imply that there is a further decoupling limit for the nonchiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_3 . We discuss that the very near horizon limit is the low energy limit to the lowest energy states, namely the ground states with the fixed asymptotic charges.⁵

From this hierarchical structure, we identify one of the two Virasoro symmetries in

near horizon geometry but the whole black hole geometry, imposing a boundary condition around the horizon and taking diffeomorphisms on the (t, r) -plane. For related works to that approach, see [5–11], for example.

⁵ Discussions relating AdS_2 limits to ground states are also found in [28–30].

the nonchiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_3 [31], i.e., in the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence, with the Virasoro symmetry in the chiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_2 , i.e., in the Kerr/CFT correspondence. For preceding analysis to relate AdS_3 and AdS_2 , see [11, 15, 32, 33]. We also obtain the correct entropies for this class of the black holes by the Kerr/CFT correspondence. Note that the representation of the Virasoro algebra of the chiral CFT_2 includes states in different very near horizon geometries because the very near horizon geometries depend on the momentum P . Therefore, the whole chiral CFT_2 does not live on the very near horizon geometry with a fixed P . We need more states than those on the very near horizon geometry to realize it.

We also discuss a way to understand the chiral CFT_2 for more generic cases. In the Kerr/CFT correspondence the central charge for the Virasoro algebra in the rotating direction is roughly proportional to the angular momentum J of the black hole. However, as stated above, the chiral CFT_2 is not expected to live in the very near horizon geometry. It is natural to ask what is the origin of the chiral CFT_2 . As shown in [34–37], the very near horizon geometries of extremal black holes are highly constrained and then there will be a kind of universality for such geometries. An example of such universality is the near horizon extremal geometries of the 5D slow-rotating Kaluza-Klein black hole [38–41] and the 5D Myers-Perry black hole [42] on an orbifold $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}_{N_6}$, which was shown in [36]. The Kerr/CFT correspondence in the compactified direction of the former geometry [17] will account for the Kerr/CFT correspondence in the rotational direction of the latter geometry [16]. From this, we expect that the chiral CFT_2 of the Kerr/CFT correspondence is originated in some high energy completion of the very near horizon geometry, for instance an AdS_3 throat structure. This may also mean that the same very near horizon geometry of different black holes have corresponding different boundary conditions in the Kerr/CFT correspondence.

Organization of this paper is as follows. In section §2, we review the Kerr/CFT correspondence. In section §3, we explain the rotating 5D black holes and apply Brown-Henneaux’s procedure to the near horizon geometry. In section §4, we take the very near horizon limit for the near horizon geometry, carry out the Kerr/CFT procedure for it and compare the results with those of §3. In section §5, we try to understand the very near horizon limit as a decoupling limit and discuss why the Kerr/CFT correspondence works for generic extremal black holes. Finally, section §6 is devoted to conclusions.

2 A Review of Kerr/CFT Correspondence

In [2], it is proposed that the 4D extremal Kerr black hole is dual to a chiral CFT₂. The metric of a generic Kerr black hole is written as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left(d\hat{t} - a \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \left((\hat{r}^2 + a^2) d\hat{\phi} - a d\hat{t} \right)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} d\hat{r}^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Delta = \hat{r}^2 - 2M\hat{r} + a^2, \quad \rho^2 = \hat{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad (2.2)$$

$$a = \frac{G_4 J}{M}, \quad M = G_4 M_{ADM}, \quad (2.3)$$

where G_4 is the 4D Newton constant and J , M and M_{ADM} are the angular momentum, the geometric mass, and the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner(ADM) mass, respectively. The event horizon is located at $r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, and the Bekenstein-Hawking (BH) entropy is

$$S_{BH} = \frac{2\pi M r_+}{G_4}. \quad (2.4)$$

The Hawking temperature and the angular velocity at the horizon are

$$T_H = \frac{r_+ - M}{4\pi M r_+}, \quad \Omega_H = \frac{a}{2M r_+}. \quad (2.5)$$

This black hole is extremal when

$$M = \sqrt{G_4 J}. \quad (2.6)$$

By defining

$$t = \frac{\epsilon \hat{t}}{2M}, \quad y = \frac{\epsilon M}{\hat{r} - M}, \quad \phi = \hat{\phi} - \frac{\hat{t}}{2M}, \quad (2.7)$$

and introducing the nonextremality parameter

$$\delta = M - \sqrt{G_4 J}, \quad (2.8)$$

the near horizon extremal limit [43] is taken as

$$\delta \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{while} \quad \delta/\epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Then the near horizon extremal Kerr geometry is written as [43]

$$ds^2 = 2G_4 J \Omega^2 \left[\frac{-dt^2 + dy^2}{y^2} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda^2 \left(d\phi + \frac{dt}{y} \right)^2 \right], \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2}, \quad \Lambda = \frac{2 \sin \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (2.11)$$

or, by introducing a global coordinate system,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \left(\cos \tau \sqrt{1+r^2} + r \right)^{-1}, \quad t = y \sin \tau \sqrt{1+r^2}, \\ \phi &= \varphi + \log \left(\frac{\cos \tau + r \sin \tau}{1 + \sin \tau \sqrt{1+r^2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

as

$$ds^2 = 2G_4 J \Omega^2 \left[-(1+r^2)d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda^2(d\varphi + r d\tau)^2 \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

The generators of the Virasoro symmetry of the chiral CFT₂ are identified with those of a class of diffeomorphisms which preserve an appropriate boundary condition on the near horizon geometry. Then it is found that the nontrivial part of the diffeomorphisms, or the asymptotic symmetry group (ASG), contains diffeomorphisms generated by

$$\zeta_n = -e^{-in\varphi} \partial_\varphi - in r e^{-in\varphi} \partial_r \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots), \quad (2.14)$$

and they generate a Virasoro algebra without a central charge

$$i[\zeta_m, \zeta_n]_{Lie} = (m-n)\zeta_{m+n}. \quad (2.15)$$

Here we notice that $\{\zeta_n\}$ contains ∂_φ , not ∂_τ .

By following the covariant formalism of the ASG [44–53], for the d -dimensional ($d \geq 3$) case in general, the conserved charge Q_ζ associated with an element ζ is defined by

$$Q_\zeta = \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_\zeta[h, \bar{g}], \quad (2.16)$$

where $\partial\Sigma$ is a $(d-2)$ -dimensional spatial surface at infinity, G_d is the Newton constant and

$$\begin{aligned} k_\zeta[h, \bar{g}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\zeta_\nu D_\mu h - \zeta_\nu D^\sigma h_{\mu\sigma} + \zeta^\sigma D_\nu h_{\mu\sigma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} h D_\nu \zeta_\mu - h_{\nu\sigma} D^\sigma \zeta_\mu + \frac{1}{2} h_{\nu\sigma} (D_\mu \zeta^\sigma + D^\sigma \zeta_\mu) \right] *_d(dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Here $*_d$ represents the Hodge dual, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of the background geometry and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a deviation from it. We also notice that the covariant derivative in (2.17) is defined by using $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. For the current case of the 4D extremal Kerr black hole, we have the charges $\{Q_{\zeta_n}\}$ associated with $\{\zeta_n\}$, and also Q_{∂_τ} , which is associated with ∂_τ . Since Q_{∂_τ} measures the deviation from the extremality, it is fixed to zero in this case. That is, we put a Dirac constraint $Q_{\partial_\tau} = 0$ by hand.

Under this constraint $Q_{\partial\tau} = 0$, the Dirac brackets of the charges are, by considering the transformation property of the charge Q_ζ under the diffeomorphism generated by ξ , given as

$$\{Q_\zeta, Q_\xi\}_{Dirac} = Q_{[\zeta, \xi]_{Lie}} + \frac{1}{8\pi G_d} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_\zeta[\mathcal{L}_\xi \bar{g}, \bar{g}]. \quad (2.18)$$

For $\{Q_{\zeta_n}\}$, using (2.18), the Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{\zeta_m}, Q_{\zeta_n}\}_{Dirac} &= Q_{[\zeta_m, \zeta_n]_{Lie}} + \frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m}[\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] \\ &= Q_{[\zeta_m, \zeta_n]_{Lie}} - iJm(m^2 + 2)\delta_{m+n,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

By redefining the charges as $L_n = Q_{\zeta_n} + 3J\delta_{n,0}/2$ and replacing the Dirac bracket $\{.,.\}_{Dirac}$ by a commutator $-i[.,.]$, we see that $\{L_n\}$ satisfies a Virasoro algebra

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (2.20)$$

with the central charge $c = 12J$.

The temperature of the dual chiral CFT₂ is, on the other hand, determined by identifying quantum numbers in the near horizon geometry with those in the original geometry [54]. For this purpose let us consider a free scalar field Φ propagating on the nonextremal Kerr black hole background. It can be expanded in eigenmodes of the asymptotic energy ω and angular momentum m as

$$\Phi = \sum_{\omega, m, l} \Phi_{\omega, m, l} e^{-i\omega\hat{t} + im\hat{\phi}} f_l(r, \theta). \quad (2.21)$$

Here $f_l(r, \theta)$'s are spherical harmonics. Using the coordinate transformation (2.7), we see that

$$e^{-i\omega\hat{t} + im\hat{\phi}} \Phi = e^{-in_\tau t + in_\varphi \phi} \Phi, \quad (2.22)$$

$$n_\tau = m, \quad n_\varphi = \frac{1}{\epsilon}(2M\omega - m). \quad (2.23)$$

By tracing out the states inside the horizon, the vacuum state includes the Boltzmann factor, and the temperatures are determined by

$$e^{-\frac{\omega - m\Omega_H}{T_H}} = e^{-\frac{n_\varphi}{T^\varphi} - \frac{n_\tau}{T^\tau}}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$T^\varphi = \frac{r_+ - M}{2\pi(r_+ - a)}, \quad T^\tau = \frac{r_+ - M}{2\pi\epsilon r_+}. \quad (2.25)$$

and taking the near horizon extremal limit (2.9), we see that T^τ vanishes while T^φ is

$$T^\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi}. \quad (2.26)$$

We can also calculate the temperature of the chiral CFT₂ by starting with the 1st law of thermodynamics:

$$dS_{BH} = \beta_H dM - \tilde{\beta} dJ, \quad (2.27)$$

where $\beta_H = 1/T_H$ is the inverse Hawking temperature and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta_H \Omega_H$. Here we notice that the entropy $S_{BH}(M, J)$ is a function of M and J . Using the nonextremality parameter δ (2.8), the entropy is written as a function $S_{BH} = \tilde{S}_{BH}(\delta, J)$ of δ and J . Then the 1st law (2.27) is rewritten as

$$dS_{BH} = \beta_H d\delta + \beta dJ, \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$\beta = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{BH}}{\partial J} \right)_{\delta: \text{fixed}} = \frac{\beta_H}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_4}{J}} - \tilde{\beta} = \beta_H \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G_4}{J}} - \Omega_H \right). \quad (2.29)$$

When we impose the Dirac constraint $Q_{\partial\tau} = 0$, we only consider deviations which preserve the extremality $\delta = 0$. Therefore the first term of (2.28) vanishes and the inverse temperature β of the dual chiral CFT₂ is calculated as

$$\beta|_{\delta=0} = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{BH}}{\partial J} \right)_{\delta=0: \text{fixed}} = 2\pi. \quad (2.30)$$

That is, the temperature is $T^\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi}$. Here notice that the angular momenta are quantized by 1, not 1/2, for scalar fields. This result reproduces the temperature (2.26) calculated above.

For more generic cases in which there are some quantized charges $\{Q_i\}$ with the potentials $\{\Phi_i\}$ in addition to the mass M , we can calculate the temperature in the same way. The 1st law is

$$dS_{BH} = \beta_H dM - \sum_i \tilde{\beta}_i dQ_i, \quad (2.31)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_i = \beta_H \Phi_i. \quad (2.32)$$

Let us assume that the extremality condition is written as $M = f(Q_i)$ where $f(Q_i)$ is a function of $\{Q_i\}$. In this case, by defining $\delta = M - f(Q_i)$ and writing the entropy as

$S_{BH} = \tilde{S}_{BH}(\delta, Q_i)$, the 1st law is written as

$$dS_{BH} = \beta_H d\delta + \sum_i \beta_i dQ_i, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\beta_i = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{BH}}{\partial Q_i} \right)_{\delta, Q_j (j \neq i): \text{fixed}}. \quad (2.34)$$

Then in the same way as above, the inverse temperature is calculated as

$$\beta_i|_{\delta=0} = \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{S}_{BH}}{\partial Q_i} \right)_{\delta=0, Q_j (j \neq i): \text{fixed}}. \quad (2.35)$$

We can easily show that this β_i coincides with the one calculated according to [2], assuming that ∂_t measures the nonextremality where t is the time of the near horizon AdS_2 . This method of calculating the temperatures has some merits. We need only the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula for the extremal black holes, and it is manifest that β_i 's depend only on the very near horizon geometry.

As we have calculated the central charge and the temperature, the entropy of the 4D Kerr black hole is microscopically calculated via the thermal Cardy formula as

$$S_{micro} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} c T^\varphi = 2\pi J, \quad (2.36)$$

which agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (2.4) with the extremal condition (2.6).⁶

Here we stress that this dual theory is not like the CFT's in the usual AdS/CFT correspondence, because φ is a spacelike coordinate and the Virasoro algebra does not contain the time translation generator. Moreover, the isometry of the near horizon geometry does not contain the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the Virasoro algebra.

For the case of the 4D extremal Kerr black hole, there is only one parameter J and embedding in string theory is nontrivial. These facts make it difficult for us to understand the Kerr/CFT correspondence well. In [17], this holography is applied to the near horizon geometry of rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes. They are realized as a rotating D0-D6 bound state in string theory. In this case, there are two boundary conditions for each of which one Virasoro symmetry is included in the ASG. An essential point is that, for one geometry, we can identify different and consistent ASG's by taking different boundary conditions appropriately. In other words, there are two ways to realize a holographic dual chiral CFT_2 for one geometry. This seems to be different from U-duality [55] in that the geometry itself is unique in this case.

⁶ For general cases, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy agrees with the entropy calculated from the Cardy formula if $c = \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \frac{\partial(S_{extr}^2)}{\partial Q_i}$, where $S_{extr}(Q_i) \equiv \tilde{S}(\delta = 0, Q_i)$.

In this paper, in order to understand the Kerr/CFT correspondence well, we will apply this correspondence to very well-known black holes embedded in string theory.

3 Near Horizon Holography in the AdS₃ Throat

In this section we take the “near horizon limit” [12] for the rotating D1-D5-P system. This is the first decoupling limit, which is familiar in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence. In this limit, we find an AdS₃ throat structure and can apply the Brown-Henneaux’s method to calculate the entropy microscopically. In contrast to the Kerr/CFT-like holographies, the dual CFT₂ for this region turns out to be nonchiral.

3.1 The rotating D1-D5-P black holes

The main object we will consider in this paper is the rotating D1-D5-P black holes [22, 23] in type IIB supergravity or superstring. They include the well-known BMPV [24, 25] and the nonrotating D1-D5-P [1, 26, 27] black holes as special cases.

3.1.1 The supergravity solution

A rotating D1-D5-P black hole is a solution of 10D type IIB supergravity on the compactified background $\mathbb{R}^{1,4} \times S^1 \times T^4$, which is regarded as a 5D spacetime macroscopically. The 10D metric in the string frame and the dilaton field are written as follows:

$$ds_{str}^2 = ds_6^2 + \sqrt{\frac{H_1}{H_5}} (dx_6^2 + dx_7^2 + dx_8^2 + dx_9^2), \quad (3.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ds_6^2 = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_1 H_5}} \left[- \left(1 - \frac{2mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} \right) d\tilde{t}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 + H_1 H_5 f_D^{-1} \frac{\hat{r}^4}{(\hat{r}^2 + l_1^2)(\hat{r}^2 + l_2^2) - 2m\hat{r}^2} d\hat{r}^2 \right. \\ & - \frac{4mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} \cosh \alpha_1 \cosh \alpha_5 (l_2 \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi} + l_1 \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}) d\tilde{t} \\ & - \frac{4mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} \sinh \alpha_1 \sinh \alpha_5 (l_1 \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi} + l_2 \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}) d\tilde{y} \\ & + \left(\left(1 + \frac{l_2^2}{\hat{r}^2} \right) H_1 H_5 \hat{r}^2 + (l_1^2 - l_2^2) \cos^2 \theta \left(\frac{2mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} \right)^2 \sinh^2 \alpha_1 \sinh^2 \alpha_5 \right) \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi}^2 \\ & + \left(\left(1 + \frac{l_1^2}{\hat{r}^2} \right) H_1 H_5 \hat{r}^2 + (l_2^2 - l_1^2) \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{2mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} \right)^2 \sinh^2 \alpha_1 \sinh^2 \alpha_5 \right) \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}^2 \\ & \left. + \frac{2mf_D}{\hat{r}^2} (l_2 \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi} + l_1 \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi})^2 + H_1 H_5 \hat{r}^2 f_D^{-1} d\theta^2 \right], \quad (3.38) \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-2\Phi} = \frac{1}{g_s^2} \frac{H_5}{H_1}, \quad (3.39)$$

where

$$H_1 = 1 + \frac{2mf_D \sinh^2 \alpha_1}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad H_5 = 1 + \frac{2mf_D \sinh^2 \alpha_5}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad (3.40)$$

$$f_D^{-1} = 1 + \frac{l_1^2 \cos^2 \theta + l_2^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$d\tilde{t} = \cosh \alpha_p d\hat{t} - \sinh \alpha_p d\hat{y}, \quad (3.42)$$

$$d\tilde{y} = \cosh \alpha_p d\hat{y} - \sinh \alpha_p d\hat{t}. \quad (3.43)$$

Here $x_6, \dots, x_9$ are the coordinates of the T^4 with $x_a \simeq x_a + 2\pi R_a$ ($a = 6, \dots, 9$), and $\hat{y}$ is also compactified as $\hat{y} \simeq \hat{y} + 2\pi R$. For later convenience we define $V = R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9$. After the dimensional reduction of the T^4 , we get a solution of the Kaluza-Klein (KK) compactified 6D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ (maximally supersymmetric) supergravity, whose metric in the 6D Einstein frame is identical with (3.38).

The six parameters $m, l_1, l_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_5, \alpha_p$ are related to the conserved charges as

$$M = m \sum_{i=1,5,p} \cosh 2\alpha_i, \quad (3.44)$$

$$Q_1 = m \sinh 2\alpha_1, \quad Q_5 = m \sinh 2\alpha_5, \quad Q_p = m \sinh 2\alpha_p, \quad (3.45)$$

$$J_L = \frac{1}{2}(J_\phi - J_\psi) = \frac{\pi}{4G_5} m(l_1 - l_2) \left(\prod_{i=1,5,p} \cosh \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1,5,p} \sinh \alpha_i \right), \quad (3.46)$$

$$J_R = \frac{1}{2}(J_\phi + J_\psi) = \frac{\pi}{4G_5} m(l_1 + l_2) \left(\prod_{i=1,5,p} \cosh \alpha_i - \prod_{i=1,5,p} \sinh \alpha_i \right). \quad (3.47)$$

Here the 5D, 6D and 10D Newton constants G_5, G_6, G_{10} are related with one another as

$$(2\pi)^5 V R G_5 = (2\pi)^4 V G_6 = G_{10}. \quad (3.48)$$

Actually, this solution represents a black hole geometry if and only if

$$m \geq \frac{1}{2}(|l_1| + |l_2|)^2, \quad (3.49)$$

and otherwise it becomes a smooth soliton, a conical defect or a naked singularity [56]. We always assume (3.49) below in this paper. Then the outer/inner horizons are located at

$$\hat{r}_\pm^2 = \frac{2m - (l_1^2 + l_2^2)}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{[2m - (l_1^2 + l_2^2)]^2 - 4l_1^2 l_2^2}, \quad (3.50)$$

and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is calculated as

$$S_{BH} = \frac{\pi^2 m}{2G_5} \left[\left(\prod_{i=1,5,p} \cosh \alpha_i + \prod_{i=1,5,p} \sinh \alpha_i \right) \sqrt{2m - (l_1 - l_2)^2} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\prod_{i=1,5,p} \cosh \alpha_i - \prod_{i=1,5,p} \sinh \alpha_i \right) \sqrt{2m - (l_1 + l_2)^2} \right]. \quad (3.51)$$

In string theory, the charges are quantized and they can be written as

$$Q_1 = c_1 N_1, \quad c_1 = \frac{g_s \alpha'^3}{V}, \quad N_1 = \frac{Vm}{g_s \alpha'^3} \sinh 2\alpha_1, \quad (3.52)$$

$$Q_5 = c_5 N_5, \quad c_5 = g_s \alpha', \quad N_5 = \frac{m}{g_s \alpha'} \sinh 2\alpha_5, \quad (3.53)$$

$$Q_p = c_p N_p, \quad c_p = \frac{g_s^2 \alpha'^4}{VR^2}, \quad N_p = \frac{VR^2 m}{g_s^2 \alpha'^4} \sinh 2\alpha_p, \quad (3.54)$$

with N_1, N_5, N_p integers. J_ϕ and J_ψ are also quantized as usual, using integers N_ϕ, N_ψ :

$$J_\phi = J_L + J_R = \frac{1}{2} N_\phi, \quad (3.55)$$

$$J_\psi = J_R - J_L = \frac{1}{2} N_\psi. \quad (3.56)$$

The 10D Newton constant G_{10} is expressed as

$$G_{10} = 8\pi^6 g_s^2 \alpha'^4. \quad (3.57)$$

3.1.2 D-brane configuration and the D1-D5 CFT

In type IIB superstring, this system corresponds to a bound state of D-branes. In that picture, N_5 D5-branes wrap around the $S^1 \times T^4$, N_1 D1-branes wrap around the S^1 and N_p Kaluza-Klein momenta run along the S^1 direction. That is the reason why this system is called D1-D5-P. It is shown in Table 1.

	t	$\mathbb{R}^4$	S^1	T^4
D1	○		○	
D5	○		○	○ ○ ○ ○
P	○		○	

Table 1: The D1-D5-P bound state

In this system, the open strings on the branes decouple from the bulk closed strings in the low energy limit and are described by a 2D $U(N_1) \times U(N_5)$ $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ superconformal

field theory, which is called the D1-D5 CFT. This is the representative example of the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence. The dominant part of the degrees of freedom comes from the fields of the bifundamental representation of the $U(N_1) \times U(N_5)$. This yields the central charges

$$c^L = c^R = 6N_1N_5. \quad (3.58)$$

The angular momenta J_L, J_R correspond to the R-charges of the R-symmetry $SO(4)_R \simeq SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. Thus in order that the black hole have angular momenta, the fields of the adjoint representation of the $U(N_1)$ or $U(N_5)$ also have to be excited as well as the bifundamental fields. Still in this case, the leading term of the statistical entropy is given by counting the configurations of the bifundamental fields, and in fact it reproduces the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (3.51) [24, 25].

3.1.3 The extremal and the BPS conditions

This solution represents an extremal black hole when the two horizons (3.50) coincide. Remembering (3.49), this occurs if and only if $m = \frac{1}{2}(|l_1| + |l_2|)^2$. We also take $l_1, l_2 \geq 0$ for simplicity⁷ and then the extremal condition is written as

$$m = \frac{(l_1 + l_2)^2}{2}, \quad (3.59)$$

and at that time the horizon is located at

$$\hat{r}_H = \sqrt{l_1 l_2}. \quad (3.60)$$

Under this condition, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (3.51) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BH} &= 2\pi \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi}{4G_5}\right)^2 Q_1 Q_5 Q_p - J_L^2 + J_R^2} \\ &= 2\pi \sqrt{N_1 N_5 N_p + \frac{N_\phi N_\psi}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

Here we used (3.52)-(3.56).

Note that (3.59) is the *extremal* condition, and it does not necessarily mean that the solution is supersymmetric or BPS saturated. The BPS bound is written as

$$M \geq Q_1 + Q_5 + Q_p. \quad (3.62)$$

⁷ This is always possible without changing the geometry, by the redefinitions of the parameters and the coordinates, $(l_1, \alpha_p, y, \phi) \rightarrow (-l_1, -\alpha_p, -y, -\phi)$ or $(l_2, \alpha_p, y, \psi) \rightarrow (-l_2, -\alpha_p, -y, -\psi)$.

In fact, this BPS condition is easily derived from (3.44)-(3.47). If we write the parameters as

$$e^{\alpha_i} = \frac{\eta_i}{\sqrt{m}} \quad (i = 1, 5, p), \quad l_a = \sqrt{m} j_a \quad (a = 1, 2), \quad (3.63)$$

then the BPS limit is given by $m \rightarrow 0$, $\alpha_i \rightarrow \infty$ while η_i , j_a and G_{10} , V , R are fixed. In this limit, the BPS bound (3.62) is saturated and the metric reduces to a rather simple form,

$$ds_6^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H_1 H_5}} \left[-d\hat{t}^2 + d\hat{y}^2 + H_p (d\hat{t} - d\hat{y})^2 + H_1 H_5 (d\hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2 d\Omega_3^2) - \frac{8G_5 J_L}{\pi \hat{r}^2} (\sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi} - \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi})(d\hat{t} - d\hat{y}) \right], \quad (3.64)$$

$$H_1 = 1 + \frac{Q_1}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad H_5 = 1 + \frac{Q_5}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad H_p = \frac{Q_p}{\hat{r}^2}, \quad (3.65)$$

and we also get $J_R = 0$. This is nothing but the BMPV black hole with three different charges. Under (3.63), the extremal condition (3.59) is expressed as

$$j_1 + j_2 = \sqrt{2}. \quad (3.66)$$

But at this time the solution does not include $j_1 + j_2$, therefore we can always satisfy (3.66). This shows that the BPS black hole (BMPV) is just a special one among more general extremal D1-D5-P black holes.⁸ In fact, general supersymmetric black holes with regular horizons are proved to be extremal in [37].

Apart from BMPV, the extremal rotating D1-D5-P black holes are not BPS saturated. The BPS states with the same charges and angular momenta as them are known to be black rings [57–60]. Then these black holes are not really stable even though they are extremal, and they could be expected to decay to the black rings through a tunneling process after a very long time. Moreover, they have an ergoregion outside the horizon. It leads to so-called superradiance instability, in which the black hole emits its mass together with its angular momenta simultaneously. (The D-brane picture of this instability was proposed in [61].)

Considering holographic duals of such unstable background geometries obviously includes some subtle problems. But at least for the microstate counting, symmetries and related issues, we can reasonably expect that it does not affect our discussions later.

⁸ The nonrotating D1-D5-P black hole was obtained if we further set $j_1 = j_2$.

3.2 The AdS₃ decoupling limit

Here we take the near horizon decoupling limit [12], in which the degrees of freedom in the AdS₃ throat will decouple from the asymptotic flat region.

If we define $\lambda = (Q_1 Q_5)^{1/4}$, then in terms of quantities in string theory,

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{g_s^2 \alpha'^4}{V} N_1 N_5 \right)^{1/4} = \left(\frac{g_s^2}{v} N_1 N_5 \right)^{1/4} l_s, \quad (3.67)$$

where we put $V = \alpha'^2 v$ and $l_s = \sqrt{\alpha'}$. Now we take the decoupling limit as

$$l_s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{aligned} g_s, \quad v, \quad R, \quad U = \frac{\hat{r}}{\lambda^2}, \quad c = \frac{m}{\lambda^4}, \quad \alpha_p, \\ w_i = \lambda e^{\alpha_i} \quad (i = 1, 5), \quad b_a = \frac{l_a}{\lambda^2} \quad (a = 1, 2) \end{aligned} \quad \text{fixed.} \quad (3.68)$$

In this limit, the Newton constants also scale as

$$G_{10} \sim l_s^8, \quad G_6 \sim l_s^4, \quad G_5 \sim \frac{l_s^4}{R}, \quad (3.69)$$

with the angular momenta J_L , J_R and the quantized charges N_1, N_5, N_p remaining finite.

Under these scalings, we obtain the near horizon geometry

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} = & \frac{U^2}{f_D} \left(- \left(1 - \frac{2cf_D}{U^2} \right) d\tilde{t}^2 + d\tilde{y}^2 \right) + \frac{U^2}{(U^2 + b_1^2)(U^2 + b_2^2) - 2cU^2} dU^2 \\ & - 2(b_2 \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi} + b_1 \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}) d\tilde{t} - 2(b_1 \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi} + b_2 \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}) d\tilde{y} \\ & + (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\hat{\psi}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

with

$$f_D^{-1} = 1 + \frac{b_1^2 \sin^2 \theta + b_2^2 \cos^2 \theta}{U^2}. \quad (3.71)$$

By introducing

$$d\tilde{\psi} = d\hat{\psi} - (b_2 d\tilde{t} + b_1 d\tilde{y}), \quad (3.72)$$

$$d\tilde{\phi} = d\hat{\phi} - (b_1 d\tilde{t} + b_2 d\tilde{y}), \quad (3.73)$$

it can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} = & - \frac{(U^2 + b_1^2)(U^2 + b_2^2) - 2cU^2}{U^2} d\tilde{t}^2 + U^2 \left(d\tilde{y} - \frac{b_1 b_2}{U^2} d\tilde{t} \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{U^2}{(U^2 + b_1^2)(U^2 + b_2^2) - 2cU^2} dU^2 + (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\tilde{\phi}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\tilde{\psi}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

This metric is further rewritten in the standard BTZ form as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} = & -N^2 dt_{BTZ}^2 + N^{-2} dr_{BTZ}^2 + r_{BTZ}^2 (dy_{BTZ} - N_y dt_{BTZ})^2 \\ & + (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\tilde{\phi}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\tilde{\psi}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

where

$$N^2 = r_{BTZ}^2 - M_{BTZ} + \frac{16G_3^2 J_{BTZ}^2}{r_{BTZ}^2}, \quad (3.76)$$

$$N_y = \frac{4G_3 J_{BTZ}}{r_{BTZ}^2}, \quad (3.77)$$

with new coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} t_{BTZ} &= \frac{\hat{t}}{R}, & y_{BTZ} &= \frac{\hat{y}}{R}, \\ r_{BTZ}^2 &= R^2 (U^2 + (2c - b_1^2 - b_2^2) \sinh^2 \alpha_p + 2b_1 b_2 \sinh \alpha_p \cosh \alpha_p), \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

where y_{BTZ} is compactified as $y_{BTZ} \sim y_{BTZ} + 2\pi$. The 3D Newton constant G_3 is

$$G_3 = \frac{G_6}{2\pi^2 \lambda^3}. \quad (3.79)$$

The mass and the angular momentum of the BTZ black hole are

$$M_{BTZ} = R^2 ((2c - b_1^2 - b_2^2) \cosh 2\alpha_p + 2b_1 b_2 \sinh 2\alpha_p), \quad (3.80)$$

$$8G_3 J_{BTZ} = R^2 ((2c - b_1^2 - b_2^2) \sinh 2\alpha_p + 2b_1 b_2 \cosh 2\alpha_p), \quad (3.81)$$

and the horizon is given by $r_{BTZ} = r_+$, where

$$r_+^2 = \frac{M_{BTZ}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M_{BTZ}^2 - (8G_3 J_{BTZ})^2}. \quad (3.82)$$

It is convenient to adopt a further coordinate transformation

$$\rho^2 = r_{BTZ}^2 - \frac{M_{BTZ}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M_{BTZ}^2 - (8G_3 J_{BTZ})^2}, \quad (3.83)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} = & -N^2 dt_{BTZ}^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{N^2 \Xi} d\rho^2 + \Xi (dy_{BTZ} - N_y dt_{BTZ})^2 \\ & + (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\tilde{\phi}^2 + \cos^2 \theta d\tilde{\psi}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

$$N^2 = \frac{\rho^2(\rho^2 - \rho_+^2)}{\Xi}, \quad (3.85)$$

$$N_y = \frac{4G_3 J_{BTZ}}{\Xi}, \quad (3.86)$$

where

$$\Xi = r_{BTZ}^2 = \rho^2 + \frac{M_{BTZ}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M_{BTZ}^2 - (8G_3J_{BTZ})^2}, \quad (3.87)$$

$$\rho_+^2 = \sqrt{M_{BTZ}^2 - (8G_3J_{BTZ})^2}. \quad (3.88)$$

Here the horizon is located at $\rho = \rho_+$.

3.3 Asymptotic symmetry group and central charges

For the near horizon geometry (3.84), we can carry out the Brown-Henneaux's method. In fact it was already done in [31], for the pure BTZ case without the S^3 fiber in this case.

In a similar manner as that, the generators are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta = & \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_R^2 \right) \gamma^{(R)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_L^2 \right) \gamma^{(L)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^4}\right) \right] \partial_{t_{BTZ}} \\ & + \left[-\frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \partial_R \gamma^{(R)} - \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \partial_L \gamma^{(L)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \right] \partial_\rho \\ & + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_R^2 \right) \gamma^{(R)} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_L^2 \right) \gamma^{(L)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^4}\right) \right] \partial_{y_{BTZ}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.89)$$

where

$$x^R = t_{BTZ} + y_{BTZ}, \quad x^L = t_{BTZ} - y_{BTZ}, \quad (3.90)$$

and $\gamma^{(R)}$ and $\gamma^{(L)}$ are arbitrary functions of x^R and x^L respectively.

Now we define $\gamma_n^{(R)} = e^{inx^R}$, $\gamma_n^{(L)} = e^{inx^L}$, and

$$\zeta_n^{(R)} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_R^2 \right) \gamma_n^{(R)} \right] \partial_{t_{BTZ}} - \left(\frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \partial_R \gamma_n^{(R)} \right) \partial_\rho + \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_R^2 \right) \gamma_n^{(R)} \right] \partial_{y_{BTZ}}, \quad (3.91)$$

$$\zeta_n^{(L)} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_L^2 \right) \gamma_n^{(L)} \right] \partial_{t_{BTZ}} - \left(\frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \partial_L \gamma_n^{(L)} \right) \partial_\rho - \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\rho^2} \partial_L^2 \right) \gamma_n^{(L)} \right] \partial_{y_{BTZ}}, \quad (3.92)$$

then we can show easily that they satisfy the Virasoro algebras

$$i[\zeta_m^{(R)}, \zeta_n^{(R)}]_{Lie} = (m - n) \zeta_{m+n}^{(R)}, \quad (3.93)$$

$$i[\zeta_m^{(L)}, \zeta_n^{(L)}]_{Lie} = (m - n) \zeta_{m+n}^{(L)}, \quad (3.94)$$

$$i[\zeta_m^{(R)}, \zeta_n^{(L)}]_{Lie} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^4}\right) \partial_{t_{BTZ}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^4}\right) \partial_{y_{BTZ}}. \quad (3.95)$$

The central extensions are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8\pi G_6} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m^{(R)}} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n^{(R)}} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] &= \frac{1}{8\pi G_6} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m^{(L)}} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n^{(L)}} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] \\ &= -i \left(\frac{\pi^2 \lambda^4}{4G_6} m^3 + \frac{\pi^2 l_1 l_2 R^2 (\cosh 2\alpha_p + \sinh 2\alpha_p)}{G_6} m \right) \delta_{m+n,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.96)$$

In this system the gauge and the scalar fields exist other than the metric, but their contribution to the central charges would vanish [62]. Then (3.96) gives the central charges as

$$\begin{aligned} c^R = c^L &= \frac{3\pi^2\lambda^4}{G_6} \\ &= 6N_1N_5. \end{aligned} \tag{3.97}$$

Therefore in exactly the same manner as [31], in the whole parameter space of the charges and the angular momenta, the dual CFT₂ is nonchiral and the central charges are the same as the brane effective theory. Therefore it seems to be natural that we would identify these Virasoro symmetries to those of the CFT₂ on the D-branes.

4 Very Near Horizon Limit and Kerr/CFT

In the previous section, we took the decoupling limit for the D1-D5-P system. This is the ordinary near horizon limit in context of the AdS/CFT correspondence, and we were left with the AdS₃ throat structure.

In turn, at the bottom of this AdS₃ throat, we find the BTZ black hole. Therefore if it is extremal, we can again go into the near horizon decoupling region, which has an AdS₂ structure. Following [28], we call it *very near horizon limit*. In this limit we will naturally find the Kerr/CFT-like structure.

4.1 Extremal limit and very near horizon geometry

In order that the very near horizon limit can be taken consistently, the BTZ black hole has to be extremal. This in turn demands that the original D1-D5-P black hole should be extremal.

The extremal condition was given in (3.59), and under (3.68) it is described as

$$c = \frac{(b_1 + b_2)^2}{2}. \tag{4.98}$$

In this case $M_{BTZ} = 8G_3J_{BTZ}$, $\rho_+ = 0$ and the near horizon geometry (3.70) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} &= -\frac{\rho^4}{\rho^2 + r_+^2} dt_{BTZ}^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + (\rho^2 + r_+^2)(dy_{BTZ} - \frac{r_+^2}{\rho^2 + r_+^2} dt_{BTZ})^2 \\ &\quad + (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\tilde{\phi}^2 + \cos^2\theta d\tilde{\psi}^2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.99}$$

where

$$r_+^2 = \frac{M_{BTZ}}{2} = R^2 b_1 b_2 (\sinh 2\alpha_p + \cosh 2\alpha_p). \quad (4.100)$$

Now let us next take the very near horizon limit. By defining

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 &= 2\epsilon r, \quad t_{BTZ} = \frac{t}{\epsilon}, \quad y_{BTZ} = y + \frac{t}{\epsilon}, \\ \hat{\phi} &= \phi + \frac{t}{\epsilon} R(b_1 + b_2)(\cosh \alpha_p - \sinh \alpha_p), \\ \hat{\psi} &= \psi + \frac{t}{\epsilon} R(b_1 + b_2)(\cosh \alpha_p - \sinh \alpha_p), \end{aligned} \quad (4.101)$$

and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the very near horizon geometry⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ds_6^2}{\lambda^2} &= -4r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{4r^2} + r_+^2 \left(dy + \frac{2r}{r_+} dt \right)^2 \\ &\quad + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \left[d\phi + R(b_1 \sinh \alpha_p - b_2 \cosh \alpha_p) dy \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \cos^2 \theta \left[d\psi + R(b_2 \sinh \alpha_p - b_1 \cosh \alpha_p) dy \right]^2 \\ &= -4r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{4r^2} + r_+^2 \left(dy + \frac{2r}{r_+} dt \right)^2 \\ &\quad + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \left(d\phi - \frac{2G_6}{\pi^2 \lambda^4} J_\psi dy \right)^2 + \cos^2 \theta \left(d\psi - \frac{2G_6}{\pi^2 \lambda^4} J_\phi dy \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.102)$$

Here note that, we could also take the very near horizon limit directly for the black hole geometry (3.38) with the extremal condition (3.59), by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{r}^2 &= l_1 l_2 + \epsilon \chi, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{t}{\epsilon} R, \\ \hat{y} &= \left(y + \frac{t}{\epsilon} \frac{e^{2\alpha_1+2\alpha_5+2\alpha_p} - e^{2\alpha_1} - e^{2\alpha_5} + e^{2\alpha_p}}{e^{2\alpha_1+2\alpha_5+2\alpha_p} + e^{2\alpha_1} + e^{2\alpha_5} + e^{2\alpha_p}} \right) R, \\ \hat{\phi} &= \phi + \frac{t}{\epsilon} \frac{4R}{l_1 + l_2} \frac{e^{\alpha_1+\alpha_5+\alpha_p}}{e^{2\alpha_1+2\alpha_5+2\alpha_p} + e^{2\alpha_1} + e^{2\alpha_5} + e^{2\alpha_p}}, \\ \hat{\psi} &= \psi + \frac{t}{\epsilon} \frac{4R}{l_1 + l_2} \frac{e^{\alpha_1+\alpha_5+\alpha_p}}{e^{2\alpha_1+2\alpha_5+2\alpha_p} + e^{2\alpha_1} + e^{2\alpha_5} + e^{2\alpha_p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.103)$$

and taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. After that, taking the AdS₃ decoupling limit (3.68) reproduces the same result as (4.102), under the further coordinate transformation

$$\chi = 2r \frac{\lambda^4 r_+}{R^2}. \quad (4.104)$$

⁹ For the case of the nonrotating D1-D5-P black hole, this is the same as the one obtained in [28].

In particular, for the BPS (BMPV) geometry (3.64), just taking the very near horizon limit yields (4.102), without assuming (3.68). In this case the coordinate transformation is written as

$$\hat{r}^2 = 2\epsilon r \frac{\lambda^4 r_+}{R^2}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{t}{\epsilon} R, \quad \hat{y} = \left(y + \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right) R, \quad \hat{\phi} = \phi, \quad \hat{\psi} = \psi, \quad (4.105)$$

where

$$\lambda = (Q_1 Q_5)^{1/4} = \frac{j_1 + j_2}{2} \sqrt{\eta_1 \eta_5}, \quad (4.106)$$

$$r_+ = \frac{\eta_p \sqrt{j_1 j_2}}{\lambda^2} R. \quad (4.107)$$

Here remember that the angular momenta are expressed as

$$J_\phi = -J_\psi = J_L = \frac{\pi}{16G_5} (j_1 - j_2) \eta_1 \eta_5 \eta_p. \quad (4.108)$$

4.2 Asymptotic symmetry groups and central charges

For the very near horizon geometry (4.102), we can take three different asymptotic symmetry groups, which correspond to three different boundary conditions, respectively. (4.102) has three independent $U(1)$ isometries along y , ϕ , and ψ , respectively, and in each of the ASG's only one of the $U(1)$'s is enhanced to a Virasoro symmetry. This is exactly the same situation as was observed in [17].

In the case where $U(1)_y$ is enhanced, the generators of the ASG are given as¹⁰

$$\zeta_\gamma^{(y)} = \gamma(y) \partial_y - r \gamma'(y) \partial_y, \quad (4.109)$$

$$\zeta^{(\phi)} = -\partial_\phi. \quad (4.110)$$

$$\zeta^{(\psi)} = -\partial_\psi. \quad (4.111)$$

Then defining

$$\gamma_n = -e^{-iny}, \quad (4.112)$$

$$\zeta_n^{(y)} = \gamma_n \partial_y - r \gamma_n' \partial_r, \quad (4.113)$$

the generators $\{\zeta_n^{(y)}\}$ satisfy the Virasoro algebra

$$[\zeta_m^{(y)}, \zeta_n^{(y)}]_{Lie} = -i(m - n) \zeta_{m+n}^{(y)}. \quad (4.114)$$

The rest of the cases are similar.

¹⁰ ∂_t is excluded from the ASG, since we put the Dirac constraint $Q_{\partial_t} = 0$ at the same time.

The central extension terms of the corresponding Dirac brackets are

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_6} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m^{(y)}} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n^{(y)}} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] = -i \frac{\pi^2 \lambda^4}{G_6} \left(\frac{m^3}{4} + r_+^2 m \right) \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (4.115)$$

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_6} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m^{(\phi)}} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n^{(\phi)}} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] = -i \frac{\pi^2 R \lambda^4}{4G_6} (b_2 \cosh \alpha_p - b_1 \sinh \alpha_p) m^3 \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (4.116)$$

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_6} \int_{\partial\Sigma} k_{\zeta_m^{(\psi)}} [\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_n^{(\psi)}} \bar{g}, \bar{g}] = -i \frac{\pi^2 R \lambda^4}{4G_6} (b_1 \cosh \alpha_p - b_2 \sinh \alpha_p) m^3 \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (4.117)$$

which give the central charges as

$$c^y = \frac{3\pi^2 \lambda^4}{G_6} = \frac{3\pi^2 Q_1 Q_5}{G_6} = 6N_1 N_5, \quad (4.118)$$

$$c^\phi = \frac{3\pi^2 R \lambda^4}{G_6} (b_2 \cosh \alpha_p - b_1 \sinh \alpha_p) = 6J_\psi = 12N_\psi, \quad (4.119)$$

$$c^\psi = \frac{3\pi^2 R \lambda^4}{G_6} (b_1 \cosh \alpha_p - b_2 \sinh \alpha_p) = 6J_\phi = 12N_\phi, \quad (4.120)$$

respectively. The results here are for the cases of $N_1 N_5, N_\phi, N_\psi > 0$, and when, for example, $N_\phi < 0$, we can obtain a positive value for c^ψ by a redefinition of the coordinate ϕ .

It is notable that the right hand side of (4.115) is exactly the same as (3.96) and that c^y is again the same value as the brane effective theory and the near horizon ASG, (3.97). The difference is that it is *chiral*, with only one Virasoro symmetry, while the CFT on the D-branes and (3.97) have both the left- and the right-movers. Later we will discuss the underlying structure behind this phenomenon.

4.3 Temperatures and the microscopic entropy

As we saw in §2, the temperatures for the dual chiral CFT's can be calculated from the macroscopic entropy formula (3.61) for the extremal states. It is straightforward that

$$T^y = \left(\frac{\partial S_{BH}}{\partial N_p} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\pi N_1 N_5} \sqrt{N_1 N_5 N_p + \frac{N_\phi N_\psi}{4}}, \quad (4.121)$$

$$T^\phi = \left(\frac{\partial S_{BH}}{\partial (N_\phi/2)} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi N_\psi} \sqrt{N_1 N_5 N_p + \frac{N_\phi N_\psi}{4}}, \quad (4.122)$$

$$T^\psi = \left(\frac{\partial S_{BH}}{\partial (N_\psi/2)} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi N_\phi} \sqrt{N_1 N_5 N_p + \frac{N_\phi N_\psi}{4}}. \quad (4.123)$$

Here again notice that the angular momenta are quantized by 1, not 1/2, for scalar fields.

Substituting (4.118)-(4.120) and (4.121)-(4.123) into the thermal Cardy formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{micro} &= \frac{\pi^2}{3} c^y T^y = \frac{\pi^2}{3} c^\phi T^\phi = \frac{\pi^2}{3} c^\psi T^\psi \\ &= 2\pi \sqrt{N_1 N_5 N_p + \frac{N_\phi N_\psi}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.124)$$

Each of these agrees with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (3.61).

4.4 Virasoro symmetries and the CFT on the D-branes

Now let us consider the relationships of the very near horizon Virasoro symmetries to the near horizon Virasoro symmetries and those of the CFT on the D-branes.

In fact it is rather simple. On the coordinate transformation (4.101), the Virasoro generators (3.91) and (3.92) become

$$\zeta_n^{(R)} \rightarrow -\frac{n^2}{4r} e^{in(y+2t/\epsilon)} \partial_t - irn e^{in(y+2t/\epsilon)} \partial_r - \frac{n^2}{2r\epsilon} e^{in(y+2t/\epsilon)} \partial_y, \quad (4.125)$$

$$\zeta_n^{(L)} \rightarrow -\frac{n^2}{4r} e^{-iny} \partial_t - irn e^{-iny} \partial_r - e^{-iny} \partial_y, \quad (4.126)$$

under the very near horizon limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\zeta_n^{(L)}$ turns to $\zeta_n^{(y)}$, while $\zeta_0^{(R)}$ vanishes and $\zeta_n^{(R)}$ ($n \neq 0$) diverges or vibrates infinitely fast.¹¹

It can be interpreted as follows. The very near horizon limit corresponds to a very low energy limit on the dual CFT₂, in which we have only the *ground states* for fixed charges.¹² The right-movers describe infinitely high energy excitations in this limit, or in other words, the mass gap is infinitely larger than the energy scale we focus on. Therefore they have to decouple, and finally we are left with a chiral Virasoro symmetry.

We can also regard it as the IR fixed point of the theory, while the UV fixed point is the ordinary nonchiral D1-D5 CFT. For the left-mover, we see from (3.97) and (4.118) that the central charges do not flow from the UV to the IR region.¹³ Note that, at this

¹¹ In fact there is a subtle problem about $\zeta_n^{(L)}$. The first term of the right hand side of (4.126) is not an asymptotically trivial transformation. However, we can show that this term (with an arbitrary numerical factor) does not affect the value of the central charge $c^y = 6N_0 N_6$. In addition, under the Dirac constraint $Q_{\partial_t} = 0$, this term does not contribute to the transformation at all. Therefore we can identify the right hand side of (4.126) with $\zeta_n^{(y)}$ for the current case.

¹² As we explained in §3.1.3, these “ground states” are not stable in truth for non-BPS extremal cases, but it does not affect our discussions here and later.

¹³ A similar comparison is carried out in [15] for a class of AdS black holes in a 3D Einstein-scalar theory. They apply Brown-Henneaux’s method at infinity (UV) and at near horizon (IR), and show that $c_{IR} < c_{UV}$ for that case.

IR fixed point, states with different levels in a representation of this Virasoro algebra correspond to different very near horizon backgrounds. They are all extremal geometries with the same N_1 and N_5 , but have different P 's, as we will explain in the next section.

5 The Kerr/CFT Correspondence as a Decoupling Limit

For extremal black holes with a finite horizon area, there is an AdS_2 structure with $U(1)^n$ fiber, which may imply that there exists a decoupling limit keeping the degrees of freedom living deep in the AdS_2 . This limit is expected to be the low energy limit to the lowest energy states, namely the ground states with the fixed asymptotic charges. Thus, the Kerr/CFT correspondence will be understood as the decoupling limit.

As we mentioned above, in the D1-D5-P (y -direction) case, the chiral CFT_2 does not live on the very near horizon geometry with a fixed P . We need more states than those on the one very near horizon geometry. It might sound as if this statement contradicts with the existence of the Virasoro symmetry in the ASG. But notice that we now have $\zeta_0^{(y)} = -\partial_y$ in the ASG, as a nontrivial transformation which is allowed by the boundary condition. The corresponding charge to it is the KK momentum P , and this means that we have many macroscopic geometries with different P 's under the boundary condition. For all of the CFT states corresponding to these geometries, excitations of the right-movers are completely suppressed. This should lead to the Dirac constraint $Q_{\partial_t} = 0$ on the gravity side, possibly by some quantum mechanism, restricting the geometries to be extremal. Therefore we find that the representation of the left-hand Virasoro algebra, which is the set of the states of the dual chiral CFT_2 , corresponds to microstate geometries which are all extremal and have different P 's.

On the other hand, the central charge for the Virasoro algebra in the rotating direction is roughly proportional to the angular momentum J of the black hole. Similar to the y -direction case, the chiral CFT_2 is not expected to live in the very near horizon geometry. In addition to it, in this case J seems to parametrize the states rather than the boundary CFT in the AdS_3 throat, while the central charge for the y -direction, $c^y = 6N_1N_5$, parametrizes the CFT_2 . Thus, it is natural to ask what is the origin of the chiral CFT_2 for the rotational direction.

As shown in [34–37], very near horizon geometries of extremal black holes are highly constrained and then we expect that there will be a kind of universality for such geometries. An example of such universality, shown in [36], is the near horizon extremal ge-

ometries of the 5D slow-rotating Kaluza-Klein black hole [38–41] and the 5D Myers-Perry black hole [42] on an orbifold $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z}_{N_6}$, which was shown in [36]. Indeed, the 5D Myers-Perry black hole can be obtained from the KK black hole by taking a scaling limit [63, 64], thus the near horizon geometries should be the same. Therefore, the Kerr/CFT correspondence for the KK compactified direction of the KK black hole [17], which is analogous to the y -direction in the D1-D5-P case, is equivalent to the Kerr/CFT correspondence in the rotational direction of the 5D Myers-Perry black hole [16]. The central charge for the compactified direction is $c^y = 3N_0N_6^2$ [17], and this should be determined by the boundary theory on the D0-D6 system, although the corresponding Virasoro symmetries or AdS_3 structure can not be directly seen from the D-brane picture for the KK black hole by now. Thus, we can expect that the chiral CFT_2 of the Kerr/CFT correspondence for general extremal black holes is originated in some high energy completion of the very near horizon geometry, for instance, a geometry with an AdS_3 throat structure. This interpretation also explains why there are different boundary conditions corresponding to the different chiral Virasoro symmetries for one very near horizon geometry. If two or more different geometries have the same very near horizon geometry, the appropriate boundary conditions will depend on the original geometries. Of course, this interpretation is not based on convincing evidences. Hence it is highly important to find more convincing evidences for this interpretation or find more appropriate origin of the Kerr/CFT correspondence.

Note that we can repeat the analysis in this paper without assuming the underlying string theory because the Virasoro symmetries in the CFT_2 dual to the AdS_3 can be obtained by using the Brown-Henneaux’s method. Of course, the explicit D-brane picture has been very useful to study the system in this paper. In particular, the string duality will be important for the universality of very near horizon geometries. Although the near horizon geometry itself is changed by taking the U-duality for the D1-D5-P case, the string duality is expected to give examples of the universality.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the origin of the Kerr/CFT correspondence. To understand this, we apply it to black holes realized as rotating D1-D5-P systems in string theory. For these black holes, we can construct different dual chiral CFT_2 ’s by imposing different boundary conditions on the very near horizon geometry. Geometrically, these black holes have an AdS_3 throat in the near horizon limit as well as a $U(1)$ fibrated AdS_2 geometry in the very near horizon limit. From this structure, for a dual chiral CFT_2 , we found that the Virasoro symmetry in the chiral CFT_2 dual to the latter originates from one

of two Virasoro symmetries in the nonchiral CFT_2 dual to the former. Since this dual nonchiral CFT_2 has its origin in the effective theory of the D1-D5 system, we can regard the chiral CFT_2 as a low energy limit, which will leave only the ground states of the original nonchiral CFT_2 . Here we notice that the holographic duality is not for one very near horizon geometry, but for the series of the geometries with different P 's.

For the other dual chiral CFT_2 's, whose central charges are proportional to angular momenta, we cannot apply such interpretations in the similar manner as above. Instead, from the fact that the very near horizon geometries of extremal black holes are highly constrained, we expect that some different extremal black holes can have the same very near horizon geometry. In other words, there will be a kind of universality for such geometries. Therefore we expect that the origin of such chiral CFT_2 's is some high energy completion of the very near horizon geometry. For example, there might be a different extremal black hole which has the same very near horizon and, at the same time, AdS_3 throat in the near horizon geometry. If this is the case, the chiral CFT_2 is expected to have its origin in the nonchiral CFT_2 dual to the AdS_3 geometry. It will be worthwhile to find such examples.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Andrew Strominger for valuable comments. We are very grateful to Keiju Murata and Tatsuma Nishioka for explaining their results prior to publication and for other useful discussions. N. O. also thanks Tohru Eguchi for helpful comments and advice. T. A. is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). S. T. is partly supported by the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT). This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE program “The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence” from the MEXT.

Note Added

As this article was being completed, we received the preprints [20] and [21], which partially overlap with ours. In [20], the same system as this paper was dealt with in the 5D reduced form without the Kaluza-Klein direction, together with many other examples. Their results agree with ours. In [21], a special case (BMPV) is dealt with, again in the 5D form, although their results are different from ours and [20]. They argue that the formula of central charges is modified because of the supersymmetries. On the other hand, our results should be valid either with or without supersymmetries.

References

- [1] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy*, *Phys. Lett.* **B379** (1996) 99–104, [[hep-th/9601029](#)].
- [2] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, and A. Strominger, *The Kerr/CFT Correspondence*, [arXiv:0809.4266](#).
- [3] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.
- [4] S. Carlip, *Black hole entropy from conformal field theory in any dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 2828–2831, [[hep-th/9812013](#)].
- [5] S. N. Solodukhin, *Conformal description of horizon’s states*, *Phys. Lett.* **B454** (1999) 213–222, [[hep-th/9812056](#)].
- [6] S. Carlip, *Entropy from conformal field theory at Killing horizons*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16** (1999) 3327–3348, [[gr-qc/9906126](#)].
- [7] M.-I. Park, *Hamiltonian dynamics of bounded spacetime and black hole entropy: Canonical method*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B634** (2002) 339–369, [[hep-th/0111224](#)].
- [8] S. Carlip, *Near-horizon conformal symmetry and black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 241301, [[gr-qc/0203001](#)].
- [9] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, *Entropy of 2D black holes from counting microstates*, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 081501, [[hep-th/9810251](#)].
- [10] M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, *Asymptotic symmetries of AdS_2 and conformal group in $d = 1$* , *Nucl. Phys.* **B557** (1999) 165–180, [[hep-th/9902040](#)].
- [11] A. Castro, D. Grumiller, F. Larsen, and R. McNees, *Holographic Description of AdS_2 Black Holes*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 052, [[arXiv:0809.4264](#)].
- [12] J. M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [13] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114, [[hep-th/9802109](#)].

- [14] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291, [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [15] K. Hotta, Y. Hyakutake, T. Kubota, T. Nishinaka, and H. Tanida, *The CFT-interpolating Black Hole in Three Dimensions*, [arXiv:0811.0910](#).
- [16] H. Lu, J. Mei, and C. N. Pope, *Kerr/CFT Correspondence in Diverse Dimensions*, [arXiv:0811.2225](#).
- [17] T. Azeyanagi, N. Ogawa, and S. Terashima, *Holographic Duals of Kaluza-Klein Black Holes*, [arXiv:0811.4177](#).
- [18] T. Hartman, K. Murata, T. Nishioka, and A. Strominger, *CFT Duals for Extreme Black Holes*, [arXiv:0811.4393](#).
- [19] Y. Nakayama, *Emerging AdS from Extremally Rotating NS5-branes*, [arXiv:0812.2234](#).
- [20] D. D. K. Chow, M. Cvetič, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, *Extremal Black Hole/CFT Correspondence in (Gauged) Supergravities*, [arXiv:0812.2918](#).
- [21] H. Isono, T.-S. Tai, and W.-Y. Wen, *Kerr/CFT correspondence and five-dimensional BMPV black holes*, [arXiv:0812.4440v1](#).
- [22] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *General Rotating Five Dimensional Black Holes of Toroidally Compactified Heterotic String*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B476** (1996) 118–132, [[hep-th/9603100](#)].
- [23] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, *Near horizon geometry of rotating black holes in five dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B531** (1998) 239–255, [[hep-th/9805097](#)].
- [24] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, and C. Vafa, *D-branes and spinning black holes*, *Phys. Lett.* **B391** (1997) 93–98, [[hep-th/9602065](#)].
- [25] J. C. Breckenridge, D. A. Lowe, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet, A. Strominger, and C. Vafa, *Macroscopic and Microscopic Entropy of Near-Extremal Spinning Black Holes*, *Phys. Lett.* **B381** (1996) 423–426, [[hep-th/9603078](#)].
- [26] C. G. Callan and J. M. Maldacena, *D-brane Approach to Black Hole Quantum Mechanics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B472** (1996) 591–610, [[hep-th/9602043](#)].
- [27] J. M. Maldacena, *Black holes in string theory*, [hep-th/9607235](#).

- [28] A. Strominger, *AdS₂ quantum gravity and string theory*, *JHEP* **01** (1999) 007, [[hep-th/9809027](#)].
- [29] J. M. Maldacena, J. Michelson, and A. Strominger, *Anti-de Sitter fragmentation*, *JHEP* **02** (1999) 011, [[hep-th/9812073](#)].
- [30] R. K. Gupta and A. Sen, *AdS₃/CFT₂ to AdS₂/CFT₁*, [arXiv:0806.0053](#).
- [31] A. Strominger, *Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates*, *JHEP* **02** (1998) 009, [[hep-th/9712251](#)].
- [32] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *AdS₃ black holes and a stringy exclusion principle*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 005, [[hep-th/9804085](#)].
- [33] T. Azeyanagi, T. Nishioka, and T. Takayanagi, *Near Extremal Black Hole Entropy as Entanglement Entropy via AdS₂/CFT₁*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 064005, [[arXiv:0710.2956](#)].
- [34] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti, and H. S. Reall, *Near-horizon symmetries of extremal black holes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 4169–4190, [[arXiv:0705.4214](#)].
- [35] D. Astefanesei and H. Yavartanoo, *Stationary black holes and attractor mechanism*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B794** (2008) 13–27, [[arXiv:0706.1847](#)].
- [36] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *A classification of near-horizon geometries of extremal vacuum black holes*, [arXiv:0806.2051](#).
- [37] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, *Uniqueness of near-horizon geometries of rotating extremal AdS₄ black holes*, [arXiv:0812.1576](#).
- [38] G. W. Gibbons and D. L. Wiltshire, *Black Holes in Kaluza-Klein Theory*, *Ann. Phys.* **167** (1986) 201.
- [39] D. Rasheed, *The Rotating dyonic black holes of Kaluza-Klein theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B454** (1995) 379–401, [[hep-th/9505038](#)].
- [40] T. Matos and C. Mora, *Stationary dilatons with arbitrary electromagnetic field*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 2331–2340, [[hep-th/9610013](#)].
- [41] F. Larsen, *Rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B575** (2000) 211–230, [[hep-th/9909102](#)].

- [42] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Black Holes in Higher Dimensional Space-Times*, *Ann. Phys.* **172** (1986) 304.
- [43] J. M. Bardeen and G. T. Horowitz, *The extreme Kerr throat geometry: A vacuum analog of $AdS_2 \times S^2$* , *Phys. Rev.* **D60** (1999) 104030, [[hep-th/9905099](#)].
- [44] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, *Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B633** (2002) 3–82, [[hep-th/0111246](#)].
- [45] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability*, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008) 042901, [[arXiv:0708.2378](#)].
- [46] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, *Stability of Gravity with a Cosmological Constant*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B195** (1982) 76.
- [47] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 846–864, [[gr-qc/9403028](#)].
- [48] I. M. Anderson and C. G. Torre, *Asymptotic conservation laws in field theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 4109–4113, [[hep-th/9608008](#)].
- [49] C. G. Torre, *Local cohomology in field theory with applications to the Einstein equations*, [hep-th/9706092](#).
- [50] G. Barnich, F. Brandt, and M. Henneaux, *Local BRST cohomology in the antifield formalism. 1. General theorems*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **174** (1995) 57–92, [[hep-th/9405109](#)].
- [51] G. Barnich, F. Brandt, and M. Henneaux, *Local BRST cohomology in gauge theories*, *Phys. Rept.* **338** (2000) 439–569, [[hep-th/0002245](#)].
- [52] G. Barnich, *Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3685–3698, [[hep-th/0301039](#)].
- [53] G. Compere, *Symmetries and conservation laws in Lagrangian gauge theories with applications to the mechanics of black holes and to gravity in three dimensions*, [arXiv:0708.3153](#).
- [54] V. P. Frolov and K. S. Thorne, *Renormalized stress-energy tensor near the horizon of a slowly evolving, rotating black hole*, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 2125–2154.

- [55] G. T. Horowitz, J. M. Maldacena, and A. Strominger, *Nonextremal Black Hole Microstates and U-duality*, *Phys. Lett.* **B383** (1996) 151–159, [[hep-th/9603109](#)].
- [56] V. Jejjala, O. Madden, S. F. Ross, and G. Titchener, *Non-supersymmetric smooth geometries and D1-D5-P bound states*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 124030, [[hep-th/0504181](#)].
- [57] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and H. S. Reall, *A supersymmetric black ring*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 211302, [[hep-th/0407065](#)].
- [58] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, *One ring to rule them all ... and in the darkness bind them?*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005) 667–701, [[hep-th/0408106](#)].
- [59] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and H. S. Reall, *Supersymmetric black rings and three-charge supertubes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 024033, [[hep-th/0408120](#)].
- [60] J. P. Gauntlett and J. B. Gutowski, *General concentric black rings*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 045002, [[hep-th/0408122](#)].
- [61] O. J. C. Dias, R. Emparan, and A. Maccarrone, *Microscopic Theory of Black Hole Superradiance*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 064018, [[arXiv:0712.0791](#)].
- [62] K. Murata and T. Nishioka, *to appear*.
- [63] N. Itzhaki, *D6 + D0 and five dimensional spinning black hole*, *JHEP* **09** (1998) 018, [[hep-th/9809063](#)].
- [64] R. Emparan and A. Maccarrone, *Statistical description of rotating Kaluza-Klein black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 084006, [[hep-th/0701150](#)].